

Ruemel Panglao

From: Camille Leung
Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 11:38 AM
To: Katie Kostiuk; BEVERLY GARRITY; Mark Stegmaier; rebecca katkin
Cc: Ruemel Panglao
Subject: FW: PLN2019-00362

Hi CDRC,

Here's more Correspondence for Item 1 (Montara)

In addition to this concern, he is also concerned about:

1. Construction Noise -- he respects their right to build and understands the Noise Regs but is hoping for some common courtesy -- that noisy activities won't be too early or too late.
2. Telephone/utility poles -- is concerned that they will be place right by his driveway/property line. Could they be moved away and/or lines be underground? [requirement is to underground utility lines]
3. Objects to the proposed variance to reduce the setback to 12 ft. vs. 20 ft. The house will be right on top of him, and he'll have no privacy.

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Moore <joannooo@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, October 8, 2021 1:23 PM
To: Camille Leung <cleung@smcgov.org>
Subject: PLN2019-00362

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Ms.Leung,

Just found out (was shown the building plans for lot next door that were presented at last hearing), that it appears not 1, but 2, poles, for PGE, wires, will be installed adjacent to my driveway, on property line? Can you tell me why these poles can't be installed on north end of 20' easement from Caltrans for next door driveway? Away from my driveway? Or better yet, with fire, power shutoffs, branches from trees on Cedar st falling every other year on lines cutting power, how about requiring owner to install underground? Instead of right at my driveway? Thank you.

Tom Moore